From: Putt, Ryan [redacted]
Sent: 04 March 2026 11:09
To: Jo Witherden [redacted]
Subject: RE: Burton and Winkton NP SEA Environmental Report

Morning Jo,

Thanks for the context below. Upon reviewing the consultee responses and considering the scope of the policy amendments, our conclusion is that the submission version of the Neighbourhood Plan would not have any additional significant effects to those presented in the SEA Environmental Report which accompanied Regulation 14 consultation. The SEA makes no further recommendations for plan makers based on the updates which have been made to the Neighbourhood Plan following Regulation 14 consultation. In light of this, in association with the requirements of the SEA Regulations, further detailed assessment work is not required to explore the implications of the updates to the Neighbourhood Plan.

We recognise that the emerging BCP was withdrawn in 2025, and BCP Council have published an updated Local Development Scheme outlining their timetable for the new BCP Local Plan under the revised plan-making system. As the Council are at an early stage of updating their evidence, including an additional 'call for sites' process and potential revisions to the Green Belt Assessment and Housing Needs Assessment, an early review of the Neighbourhood Plan may be required. If the Parish Council choose to review the Neighbourhood Plan, we would anticipate a complete refresh of the SEA process (including screening) at this stage.

Kind regards,

Ryan

Ryan Putt MRTPI
He/They

Princi al Environmental Planner
[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: 17 February 2026 13:49
To: Putt, Ryan [redacted]
Subject: RE: Burton and Winkton NP SEA Environmental Report

Hi Ryan – appreciate it has been a while, but you will be glad to know that the Reg 14 consultation went ahead, and there were no major concerns about the SEA raised by the statutory consultees.

Whilst the plan was delayed due to the need to await the outcome of the BCP Local Plan (which ended up being withdrawn, meaning that all of the supporting text in the plan needed to be re-written to link it to the 2014 Core Strategy), we are now in a position to submit.

The changes from Reg 14 for the policies are shown as tracked changes in the attached and are relatively minor, and the updated draft of the plan is here [redacted]

Having looked at the Reg 14 SEA and the comments I am not convinced we need you to do any further work as there have not been significant changes, but wanted to check this with you as you are the report author.

The three statutory consultee emails are attached – the only other comment re the SEA was from a local landowner who said that the SEA fails to robustly consider any form of alternatives or the option of the partial release of site 07-11 (a Green Belt site) as a realistic consideration. Our response to this is that para 145 of the NPPF makes clear that strategic policies should establish the need for any changes to Green Belt boundaries, having regard to their intended permanence in the long term, so they can endure beyond the plan period. As such there are very limited circumstances under which the NP could allocate sites in the Green Belt for housing, and therefore these do not form a reasonable alternative.

I would be grateful for your consideration of the above and either confirmation that the SEA as provided for Reg 14 is sufficient, or if it needs to be updated I would be grateful if you could expedite this!

Warm regards, Jo

Dorset Planning Consultant Limited

Director: Jo Witherden BSc(Hons) DipTP DipUD MRTPI

[redacted]